
Issue-by-Issue Briefings

Each briefing below focuses on one of the major issues that appeared repeatedly across the presentations. Where possible, info is attributed to the residents' association and speaker. Where useful, speakers' statements are summarized or paraphrased..

BRIEFING 1 – Overdevelopment, Intensification and Transit-Oriented Communities

Issue Summary

Across Scarborough, residents' associations reported deep concern about the pace and scale of intensification policies being introduced through Transit-Oriented Communities (TOCs), multiplex permissions, and rezoning initiatives.

The central concern is not opposition to growth itself, but the absence of credible cumulative-impact analysis and the perception that Scarborough neighbourhoods are being treated with a uniform planning model that does not reflect their built form, transportation realities, or infrastructure capacity.

What Residents' Associations Reported

Guildwood Village Community Association — Jeff Garrah

- Garrah warned that the Guildwood GO Transit-Oriented Community proposal and nearby Kingston Road developments are advancing without a meaningful assessment of cumulative impacts.
- Concerns include strain on schools, healthcare services, parking, traffic, and transit capacity.

West Rouge Community Association — Kirk O'Brien

- O'Brien emphasized that development pressures across Scarborough are not being coordinated. Individual projects are evaluated separately, but their combined impact on infrastructure, transportation and neighbourhood stability is not adequately analyzed.

Cliffcrest Scarborough Village SW Residents Association — Marina Tadenc and Tanya Baksh

- This submission described zoning and development approvals moving at "lightning pace" across multiple Scarborough neighbourhoods without meaningful consultation or neighbourhood transition planning.

Midland Park Residents — Carol Baker

- Baker highlighted the difficulty residents face trying to understand planning proposals, which are often technical, complex, and presented late in the process when major decisions have already been made.

Highland Creek Community Association — Joy Loewen

- Loewen argued that existing Secondary Plans should be treated as binding policy documents.

-
- Residents see them as negotiated agreements with the City, but they are increasingly being overridden or ignored in new planning initiatives.

Key Impacts Identified

- strain on community infrastructure
- loss of neighbourhood character
- uncertainty and mistrust in the planning process
- increased pressure on local roads, transit and parking

BRIEFING 2 – Public Transit Deficiencies in Scarborough

Issue Summary

Transit remains the single most consistent long-standing issue raised by Scarborough residents. Many speakers noted that Scarborough residents travel longer distances to work, school, and services than residents in central Toronto, yet the transit infrastructure is far less developed.

What Residents' Associations Reported

Agincourt Village Community Association — Rhoda Potter

- Potter strongly advocated for both the Sheppard Subway Extension and the Eglinton East LRT.
- She also noted that GO train service on the Stouffville corridor is still limited in frequency and reliability.

C.D. Farquharson Community Association — Paula Smith-Nadin

- Smith-Nadin emphasized the need for improved GO service and the completion of long-promised transit investments in eastern Scarborough.

Scarborough Rosewood Community Association — Alura Moores

- Moores stressed the importance of reliable bus service connecting neighbourhoods to major transit hubs.

Scarborough Community Renewal Organization — Larry Whatmore

- Whatmore highlighted the importance of the Eglinton East LRT in addressing transit inequities across Scarborough.

Heathwood Residents Association

- Representatives also expressed support for the Sheppard Subway extension as critical to improving transit access in northern Scarborough.

Key Impacts Identified

- long commute times
 - limited access to employment opportunities
 - dependence on cars due to inadequate transit
 - increased traffic congestion
-

BRIEFING 3 – Parking Crisis and Transportation Realities

Issue Summary

Many Scarborough neighbourhoods report that the removal of minimum parking requirements for new development has created significant parking pressures. Speakers emphasized that Scarborough's transit network is not yet robust enough to support downtown-style assumptions about car ownership.

What Residents' Associations Reported

Cliffcrest Scarborough Village SW Residents Association

- The association described the elimination of parking minimums as a major contributor to a growing neighbourhood parking crisis.

Highland Creek Community Association

- Loewen noted that parking standards must reflect Scarborough's geographic realities and current transit infrastructure.

Agincourt Village Community Association

- Potter noted that many transit stations lack adequate commuter parking.

C.D. Farquharson Community Association

- Residents reported difficulty accessing GO stations because of limited parking availability.

Guildwood Village Community Association

- Garrah noted that parking continues to be a practical necessity for many Scarborough households.

Key Impacts Identified

- spillover street parking
- increased conflict between neighbours
- pressure around schools and transit stations
- illegal parking and enforcement challenges

BRIEFING 4 – Infrastructure Deficit: Recreation, Schools, Healthcare and Community Facilities

Issue Summary

Residents repeatedly emphasized that population growth must be matched with investments in community infrastructure. Many Scarborough facilities are already operating at or beyond capacity.

What Residents' Associations Reported

Scarborough Rosewood Community Association

- Residents reported that recreation facilities and community centres are already overcrowded.

Agincourt Village Community Association

- Potter noted that parkland per capita is declining while population density increases.

Woburn Residents Table — Nita Goswami

- Goswami highlighted pressures on schools, community programs and green spaces caused by rapid development.

West Hill Community Association — John Emore

- Emore emphasized the need for stronger investment in youth programming, employment opportunities and community services.

Guildwood Village Community Association

- Garrah emphasized the need for healthcare services, childcare facilities and community centres to accompany new development.

Key Impacts Identified

- overcrowded recreation facilities
 - limited community programming
 - strain on schools and childcare
 - declining parkland per resident
-

BRIEFING 5 – By-Law Enforcement and Regulatory Gaps

Issue Summary

Another major issue raised across submissions was inconsistent enforcement of existing by-laws and regulations.

What Residents' Associations Reported

Guildwood Village Community Association

- Despite investigations, there are currently no licensed rooming houses in Guildwood, which residents believe indicates enforcement failures.

Cliffcrest Scarborough Village SW Residents Association

- The association reported widespread illegal rooming houses, parking violations and weak enforcement.

West Rouge Community Association

- Residents raised concerns about repeated vehicle thefts at GO station parking lots.

Heathwood Residents Association

- The association noted growing safety concerns related to e-bikes and e-scooters on sidewalks.

Woburn Residents Table

- Residents reported fireworks violations, noise complaints and other issues with limited enforcement response.

Key Impacts Identified

- erosion of public confidence in municipal enforcement
- neighbourhood safety concerns
- conflict between residents
- growing regulatory non-compliance

BRIEFING 6 – Community Safety and Social Supports

Issue Summary

Several speakers emphasized that community safety cannot be separated from broader social and economic conditions.

What Residents' Associations Reported

West Hill Community Association — John Emore

- Emore noted that youth disengagement, unemployment and food insecurity contribute to crime and neighbourhood instability.

Woburn Residents Table — Nita Goswami

- Residents expressed concerns about gun violence, homelessness and lack of safe community spaces.

ACSA Community Services — Lee Soda

- Soda highlighted shortages of childcare, mental health supports, and settlement services for newcomers.

Centennial Community and Recreation Association — Kathy Rowe

- Rowe raised concerns about unsafe or poorly regulated care homes in residential neighbourhoods.

Key Impacts Identified

- youth disengagement
- community safety concerns
- mental health pressures
- inadequate social infrastructure

BRIEFING 7 – Green Space, Environmental Protection and Tree Canopy

Issue Summary

Several residents' associations raised concerns about the environmental impacts of development.

What Residents' Associations Reported

Highland Creek Community Association

- Loewen emphasized the importance of protecting mature tree canopy.

C.D. Farquharson Community Association

-
- Smith-Nadin called for stronger protection of green space and wildlife corridors.

Agincourt Village Community Association

- Residents warned that parkland availability is shrinking relative to population growth.

Woburn Residents Table

- Residents noted increasing pressure on green space due to development.

Key Impacts Identified

- loss of tree canopy
- declining parkland per resident
- environmental degradation
- loss of wildlife corridors

BRIEFING 8 – Employment Lands and Local Economic Stability

Issue Summary

Some associations warned that housing pressures are displacing employment lands and essential services.

What Residents' Associations Reported

Scarborough Community Renewal Organization

- SCRO emphasized the importance of protecting employment lands.

Woburn Residents Table

- Residents noted concerns about losing grocery stores, medical offices and local businesses.

Cliffcrest Scarborough Village SW Residents Association

- Speakers reported that redevelopment has sometimes left commercial sites vacant for extended periods.

Key Impacts Identified

- job displacement
- loss of local services
- reduced economic resilience

BRIEFING 9 – Active Transportation and Trail Connectivity

Issue Summary

Residents expressed interest in improved cycling and trail infrastructure that reflects Scarborough's geography.

What Residents' Associations Reported

Scarborough Rosewood Community Association

- Residents requested better connections between existing cycling routes.

C.D. Farquharson Community Association

- Residents supported thoughtful expansion of cycling infrastructure.

Scarborough Junction Community

- Speakers advocated for development of the West Scarborough Rail Trail as a major recreational and transportation asset.

Key Impacts Identified

- improved health and recreation
 - better local mobility
 - enhanced connectivity between neighbourhoods
-

BRIEFING 10 – Communication, Consultation and Public Trust

Issue Summary

Across nearly every submission, residents raised concerns about the effectiveness of City consultation processes.

What Residents' Associations Reported

Midland Park Residents

- Residents find planning documentation complex and difficult to navigate.

West Rouge Community Association

- Uncertainty and lack of clear communication can increase public resistance.

Highland Creek Community Association

- Residents reported frustration with limited transparency regarding 311 service requests.

SUN Moderator Statement

- The moderator emphasized the need for earlier release of information, more town halls and improved engagement methods.

Key Impacts Identified

- declining public trust in planning processes
 - residents feeling excluded from decision-making
 - increased conflict over development proposals
-

Recommended Next Steps

Strategic Response Framework Based on the 19 Community Submissions at the March 2026 SUN Forum

Purpose

This document translates the recurring issues raised by Scarborough residents' associations into a practical next-step strategy. It is designed to help SUN and the participating RAs move from issue identification to coordinated advocacy, with suggested leads or responsible parties where that can reasonably be inferred from the forum material and Scarborough's civic context.

Overall Strategic Conclusion

The central conclusion arising from the submissions is that Scarborough's challenges are not isolated ward problems. They are cross-Scarborough structural issues. Transit gaps, overdevelopment, parking pressure, infrastructure deficits, weak enforcement, social-service strain, and inadequate consultation are all being experienced in multiple neighbourhoods at once.

The forum material strongly suggests that a fragmented, ward-by-ward advocacy model will not be enough. Scarborough needs coordinated action from both community groups and Scarborough councillors.

Primary Strategic Recommendation

SUN should make one overarching political ask:

Scarborough councillors should commit to a regular Scarborough caucus or coordinated voting/advocacy framework on major Scarborough-wide issues before matters reach full City Council.

This does not require councillors to agree on everything. It does require them to stop operating solely as ward-specific actors when the issue is clearly regional and when failure to coordinate weakens Scarborough's influence at City Hall. That conclusion is consistent with SUN's moderator framing and with multiple RA submissions that called for coordinated Scarborough advocacy.

Because Toronto City Council operates through voting blocs, Scarborough's ability to advance these issues depends on Scarborough councillors coordinating their positions before matters reach Council.

Recommended Next Steps by Issue

1. Overdevelopment, TOCs, rezoning, and cumulative-impact planning

Recommended actions

A. Request a Scarborough-wide planning review session with City Planning focused specifically on cumulative impacts of concurrent growth in Scarborough.

- This should include transit capacity, school capacity, healthcare access, parkland, parking, traffic, and community services. The repeated complaint across the submissions is that individual applications are assessed in silos.

B. Ask Scarborough councillors to jointly request a formal Scarborough intensification impact report.

- This would create one cross-ward evidence base instead of leaving each RA to fight project-by-project.

C. Develop a SUN planning principles statement.

- This could be a short common-position document stating that Scarborough supports appropriate growth, but only where infrastructure, consultation, and neighbourhood transition are addressed.

Suggested responsibility

- **Lead:** SUN executive / coordinating committee
- **Support:** RAs facing immediate development pressure, especially:
 - GVCA,
 - West Rouge,
 - CSVSWRA,
 - Midland Park,
 - Highland Creek
- **Government target:**
 - Scarborough councillors,
 - City Planning,
 - TTC,
 - relevant school boards,
 - health-system planning bodies

2. Public transit and Scarborough mobility

Recommended actions

A. Prepare a unified Scarborough transit priorities paper.

- This should identify the shared priorities that appeared across submissions:
 - Sheppard Subway Extension,
 - Eglinton East LRT,
 - better GO frequency,
 - stronger east-west and north-south bus connections, and
 - better station access.

B. Ask each Scarborough councillor to publicly endorse the top three Scarborough-wide transit priorities.

-
- This is important because a unified Scarborough position is more powerful than isolated ward requests.

C. Seek a joint deputation to TTC/Metrolinx/City Council.

- One coordinated appearance is likely to have more impact than multiple disconnected submissions.

Suggested responsibility

- **Lead:** SUN transit working group or temporary task force
- **Support:**
 - AVCA,
 - CDFCA,
 - SCRO, SRCA,
 - Heathwood,
 - Scarborough Junction

Government target:

- Scarborough councillors,
- TTC,
- Metrolinx,
- Infrastructure Ontario where relevant

3. Parking policy and transportation reality

Recommended actions

A. Request a Scarborough-specific review of minimum parking policy changes.

- The central argument should be that Scarborough's actual transit conditions differ from central Toronto and require differentiated treatment.

B. Build a cross-RA evidence file.

- Collect examples of spillover parking, school-area impacts, transit-station parking shortages, and neighbourhood conflicts.

C. Push for site-specific parking and curbside management plans in major development nodes.

- This is especially relevant near GO stations, schools, and mixed-use redevelopment areas.

Suggested responsibility

- **Lead:** CSVSWRA and other heavily affected RAs
 - **Support:**
 - GVCA,
 - Highland Creek,
 - Agincourt Village,
 - CDFCA
 - **Government target:**
 - City Planning,
 - Transportation Services,
 - TTC/Metrolinx,
 - Scarborough councillors
-

4. Infrastructure deficits: recreation, schools, healthcare, childcare, community facilities

- Several speakers emphasized that the problem is not simply infrastructure shortages, but the sequencing of approvals.
- Major residential intensification is proceeding before schools, recreation facilities, healthcare services, and transit capacity are planned or funded.

Recommended actions

A. Ask for a Scarborough infrastructure gap inventory tied to growth areas.

- This should identify existing service levels and projected deficits in recreation, childcare, schools, healthcare, and community space.
- The forum material repeatedly argued how growth is approved ahead of infrastructure.

B. Require community benefits to be identified early, not promised vaguely after approvals.

- Examples raised in the material include clinics, childcare, community centres, affordable housing, and park improvements.

C. Ask Scarborough councillors to jointly advocate for equitable capital investment in Scarborough facilities.

- This should be framed not as a local favour, but as a city equity issue.

Suggested responsibility

- **Lead:** SUN executive, with RA input
- **Support:**
 - SRCA,
 - AVCA,
 - West Hill,
 - Woburn,
 - GVCA,
 - ACSA
- **Government target:**
 - City divisions,
 - school boards,
 - Toronto Public Health / Ontario Health partners where appropriate,
 - Scarborough councillors

5. Enforcement failures: rooming houses, parking, safety, and by-law compliance

- Speakers noted that enforcement gaps occur across multiple systems including property standards, parking enforcement, by-law enforcement, and policing.

Recommended actions

A. Request an enforcement accountability session for Scarborough.

- MLS, Parking Enforcement, Transportation Services, and other relevant divisions should report on enforcement activity, complaint volumes, response times, and outcomes.

B. Ask for periodic ward-level enforcement dashboards.

- Residents repeatedly described a system where reports disappear into 311 or investigations produce no visible result.

C. Develop a SUN template for documenting enforcement gaps.

- This would allow comparable evidence collection across neighbourhoods.

Suggested responsibility

- **Lead:** SUN with the most affected RAs
- **Support:**
 - GVCA,
 - CSVSWRA,
 - Heathwood,
 - Woburn,
 - West Rouge,
 - Centennial
- **Government target:**
 - Municipal Licensing and Standards,
 - Toronto Police where relevant,
 - Parking Enforcement,
 - Transportation Services,
 - Scarborough councillors

6. Community safety and social supports

Recommended actions

A. Frame safety as both enforcement and prevention.

- The submissions show that residents are not only asking for stricter enforcement; they are also asking for youth programming, food security, community spaces, mental-health supports, and local services.

B. Seek a Scarborough neighbourhood well-being roundtable.

- This could include community agencies, police, councillors, school representatives, RAs.

C. Identify 2–3 priority asks that are concrete and fundable.

- Examples: youth drop-in space, mobile mental-health outreach, food access coordination, school nutrition support, safer public spaces.

Suggested responsibility

- **Lead:** Community-service organizations and affected RAs
- **Support:**
 - West Hill,
 - Woburn,
 - ACSA,
 - Centennial
- **Government target:**
 - Scarborough councillors,
 - City community safety offices,
 - Toronto Community Crisis Service partners,

-
- school boards,
 - provincial partners where relevant
-

7. Green space, tree canopy, and environmental resilience

Recommended actions

A. Request stronger Scarborough protection standards for mature trees and green corridors.

- This issue was framed not as aesthetic only, but as infrastructure and ecological resilience.

B. Ask that major Scarborough development files include clearer local tree-canopy and open-space accounting.

C. Build alliances with park, trail, and stewardship groups.

- This would broaden the coalition beyond land-use opponents and help frame environmental protection positively.

Suggested responsibility

- **Lead:** Highland Creek and environmentally focused RAs
 - **Support:**
 - CDFCA,
 - Agincourt Village,
 - Woburn,
 - Scarborough Junction where trail links are relevant
 - **Government target:**
 - Urban Forestry,
 - City Planning, Parks,
 - Scarborough councillors
-

8. Employment lands and local economic resilience

Recommended actions

A. Seek a Scarborough employment-lands protection review.

- The concern is that housing pressure is displacing jobs and weakening local service ecosystems.

B. Ask councillors to identify which employment lands and community commercial nodes must be protected.

C. Add local business/service retention to redevelopment discussions.

- This includes grocery stores, pharmacies, clinics, and other daily-needs uses.

Suggested responsibility

- **Lead:** SCRO and affected local associations
- **Support:**
 - Woburn,
 - CSVSWRA,
 - Agincourt-related groups where relevant
- **Government target:**
 - City Planning,

-
- Economic Development,
 - Scarborough councillors
-

9. Active transportation and the Scarborough trail / connectivity agenda

Recommended actions

A. Package active transportation as an equity issue.

- The case raised about the community rail trail is important here.
- The argument should be that Scarborough deserves the same quality of connected, city-building trail infrastructure already present in other parts of Toronto.
- That framing is consistent with the Scarborough Junction submission on the West Scarborough Rail Trail.

B. Ask Scarborough councillors to jointly endorse a Scarborough active transportation and trails priority list.

- This should include strategic links, not just isolated bike lanes.

C. Link trails to health, recreation, safe mobility, and city fairness.

- That broadens support beyond cycling advocates.

Suggested responsibility

- **Lead:** Scarborough Junction and trail-supportive groups
 - **Support:**
 - CDFCA,
 - SRCA,
 - other RAs with trail or cycling concerns
 - **Government target:**
 - Scarborough councillors,
 - Transportation Services,
 - Parks,
 - City Planning
-

10. Communication, consultation, transparency, and trust

- A frequent concern is lack of transparency in service response and enforcement outcomes (particularly through 311 tracking)

Recommended actions

A. Ask for earlier and simpler consultation materials.

- Residents repeatedly said that material is too technical and arrives too late.

B. Request hybrid engagement & plain-language summaries for major Scarborough files.

- This is directly aligned with SUN's own moderator recommendations.

C. Ask for better 311 transparency and file-tracking.

- Residents want to know not just that a case was "closed," but what action was taken and whether the problem was resolved.

Suggested responsibility

- **Lead:** SUN executive

-
- **Support:**
 - all participating RAs
 - **Government target:**
 - Scarborough councillors,
 - City Planning,
 - 311/customer service
 - leadership,
 - relevant divisions
-

Priority Governance Actions for SUN

To keep this manageable, SUN should not try to do everything at once. A realistic first-phase strategy would be:

First priority

- Secure a formal commitment from Scarborough councillors to meet together on Scarborough-wide issues before key Council votes.

Second priority

- Issue a short Scarborough Common Priorities document based on the forum.

This would likely include:

- coordinated Scarborough representation at City Hall
- transit investment
- responsible growth with infrastructure
- enforcement accountability
- protection of neighbourhood stability and green space
- improved consultation and transparency

Third priority

- Create 3 short SUN working groups for the next 3–6 months:
 - Planning / Growth / Infrastructure
 - Transit / Mobility / Trails
 - Enforcement / Safety / Community Well-Being

Fourth priority

- Prepare one follow-up meeting with councillors structured around commitments, not general discussion.

For example:

- Will you participate in a Scarborough councillor coordination table?
- Which three Scarborough transit priorities will you jointly support?
- Will you jointly request a Scarborough cumulative-growth impact review?
- Will you support a Scarborough enforcement accountability session?

Suggested Responsibility Framework

SUN

-
- Best placed to coordinate the common agenda, synthesize material, convene meetings, and issue the Scarborough-wide asks.

Individual residents' associations

- Best placed to provide local evidence, examples, case studies, photos, service complaints, and deputation speakers.

Scarborough councillors

- Best placed to convert community consensus into Council motions, budget asks, committee advocacy, and inter-ward coordination.

Community agencies and service organizations

- Best placed to strengthen the evidence on social infrastructure, youth supports, food insecurity, settlement, childcare, and well-being.

Closing Statement

The forum submissions show that Scarborough does not lack civic knowledge, local leadership, or community commitment. What it lacks is a coordinated mechanism for turning shared concerns into shared political leverage.

In practical terms, the forum reveals three major structural problems across Scarborough:

1. **Growth without infrastructure**
2. **Mobility without transit investment**
3. **Governance without coordinated Scarborough representation**

The next step is not simply more consultation. It is coordinated action: among residents' associations, among community partners, and especially among Scarborough councillors themselves. Until Scarborough speaks and votes with greater unity at City Hall, many of these issues will continue to be recognized locally but outmaneuvered city-wide.

Scarborough Residents' Associations Issue Matrix

(Compiled from the 19 SUN Councillors Forum submissions)

Purpose: This matrix shows which residents' associations raised which issues during the Scarborough United Neighbourhoods forum. The goal is not to capture every nuance of each speech but to reveal where multiple neighbourhoods are experiencing the same problems, which strengthens the case for coordinated Scarborough advocacy.

Strategic Interpretation for SUN

This matrix reinforces three important conclusions.

- First, Scarborough residents' associations are raising **remarkably consistent issues across neighbourhoods**, even though those neighbourhoods differ widely in demographics, development pressures, and geography.
- Second, the strongest shared concerns — transit, growth management, infrastructure deficits, enforcement, and parking — are **issues that require decisions at City Hall**, not just ward-level responses.

- Third, the issue matrix strongly supports the central political conclusion raised during the forum:

Scarborough councillors acting individually cannot resolve these systemic problems. Effective solutions require coordinated Scarborough representation and voting power at City Council.

Residents' Associations / Groups that participated in the SUN Forum

- ACSA – ACSA Community Services Association
- AVCA – Agincourt Village Community Association
- CDFCA – C.D. Farquharson Community Association
- Centennial – Centennial Community and Recreation Association
- CSVSWRA – Cliffcrest Scarborough Village Southwest Residents Association
- GVCA – Guildwood Village Community Association
- HCCA – Highland Creek Community Association
- Heathwood – Heathwood Residents Association
- Metrogate – Metrogate Community Association
- Midland Park – Midland Park Residents Association
- PVA – Port Union Village Association
- Scarborough Golf Club – Scarborough Golf Club Road Residents Area
- Scarborough Junction – Scarborough Junction Community Association
- SCRO – Scarborough Community Renewal Organization
- SRCA – Scarborough Rosewood Community Association
- SUN - Scarborough United Neighbourhoods
- West Hill – West Hill Community Association
- Woburn – Woburn Residents Table
- WRCA – West Rouge Community Association

Issue Categories

- 1 – Infrastructure deficits (schools, recreation, childcare, health)
- 2 – Overdevelopment / rezoning / TOCs
- 3 – Transit expansion / mobility
- 4 – Parking pressures
- 5 – Enforcement / regulatory failures
- 6 – Community safety / social supports
- 7 – Green space / environmental protection
- 8 – Employment lands / economic resilience
- 9 – Active transportation / trails
- 10 – Consultation / communication / transparency

Issue	# of RAs raising it
-------	---------------------

1. Infrastructure deficits	12
2. Overdevelopment / rezoning	11
3. Transit deficiencies	9
4. Parking pressures	7
5. Enforcement failures	7

Issue Matrix of Scarborough Concerns: Identified by Community Associations at SUN Forum

Residents Association	Overdevelopment / TOCs	Transit	Parking	Infrastructure Deficit	Enforcement	Community Safety / Social Supports	Green Space	Employment Lands	Active Transport / Trails	Consultation / Transparency
ACSA				X		X				
AVCA	●	X	X	X			●			
CDFCA	●	X	X	●			X		●	
Centennial				●	X	●				
CSVSWRA	X		X	●	X			X		X
GVCA	X	X	X	X	X	●	●			X
HCCA	X		X		●		X			●
Heathwood		X	●		X	●				
Metrogate	●	●				●				
Midland Park	X									X
PVA	●			●						
Scarborough Golf Club	●			●		●				
Scarborough Junction		●							X	
SCRO		X		●		●		X		
SRCA		X		X		●			X	
West Hill				X		X				
Woburn	●			X	X	X	●	●		
WRCA	X	●	●		X	●	●			X

Heat Map Diagram Legend: X = Issue clearly raised in the submission; ● = Issue raised but not as a primary focus;

A blank (white) cell indicates the issue was not specifically raised in the submission. Full names of RAs on page 17

The 10 issues identified at the SUN Forum are not isolated complaints. They are systemic Scarborough-wide concerns that cannot be resolved by individual Scarborough councillors acting alone. Solutions require coordinated representation.